IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

KAREN DEAUNCE HORNBEAK,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. CIV-06-471-RAW
)	
DR. STEPHEN HUTCHINS, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

<u>ORDER</u>

Before the Court is the joint motion of the defendants to dismiss. Movants represent that the pro se plaintiff did not appear for her properly-noticed deposition on April 8, 2008. Defendants seek dismissal or, in the alternative, an order directing plaintiff to appear for deposition. Defendants also seek an award of expenses. Plaintiff has not responded to the motion.

Rule 37(d)(1)(A)(i) F.R.Cv.P. grants the court authority to impose sanctions if a party fails to appear for that person's properly-noticed deposition. Such sanction may include dismissal of the action. See Rule 37(d)(3) & Rule 37(b)(2)(A)(v). In light of plaintiff's failure to respond to the present motion, the court considered the sanction of dismissal without prejudice. Pursuant to Rule 37(d)(3), however, it appears such a sanction would have to be coupled with an award of expenses. That Rule states in part that an award of reasonable expenses <u>must</u> be imposed "[i]nstead of or in addition to" other sanctions. Thus,

for example, if the court decides to impose sanctions* this may take the form of expenses

alone or expenses plus dismissal. Dismissal alone is evidently not an option.

The court finds that dismissal and an award of expenses is too severe a sanction in this

case. Also, the court has considered the factors set forth in Ehrenhaus v. Reynolds, 965 F.2d

916, 921 (10th Cir.1992), and concludes dismissal (albeit without prejudice) will not be

imposed at this time.

It is the Order of the court that the joint motion of the defendants (#42) is hereby

DENIED in part and GRANTED in part. The motion is denied to the extent it seeks

dismissal of plaintiff's action.

The motion is granted to the extent that plaintiff is hereby ordered to appear for

deposition upon further reasonable notice of the defendants and plaintiff is advised that any

further failure to cooperate in discovery may result in dismissal of her case.

The motion is also granted to the extent that plaintiff is ordered to pay the defendants'

reasonable expenses, including reasonable attorney's fees, incurred in attending the

scheduled deposition and making a record thereon upon plaintiff's failure to appear.

ORDERED THIS 1st DAY OF MAY, 2008.

Dated this 1st Day of May 2008.

J4h4i0

Rould A. White

United States District Judge Eastern District of Oklahoma

*Rule 37(d)(1)(A) states that the court may impose sanctions upon motion.

2